

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/088,398	Applicant(s) SATO ET AL.	
	Examiner Gregg Cantelmo	Art Unit 1745	

All Participants:

(1) Gregg Cantelmo.

(2) Ms. Sandra M. Katz.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative gave the Examiner permission to enter the Examiner's amendment to claim 7 as appears on the record

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Gregg Cantelmo 3/30/04
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)